## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/825,539	BALLEW ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSEPH GREENE	2451	

	JOSEPH GREENE	2451			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following I application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		20/->			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exten have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee pappropriate exten have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property of the property of the notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		.,,			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) of claim(s) is (of will be) as follows.  Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other: IDS dated 03/26/2008 contains no documents out					
/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant generally argues that the prior art, in combination, does not teach a switching fabric between processors. Likewise, the applicant generally argues that the examiner's content of inherency and/or official notice with respect to a switching fabric between multiprocessors is not taught and has asked evidence to be provided to show any notions of official notice. Thus, the applicant may examine Hussain et al. (Pre-Grant Publication No. US 2003/02/2304 Art), section OVI4. Furthermore, the applicant is requesting that an IDS dated 30/26/2008 be tweed. Unfortunately, the only IDS correspondance that is present in the system from that date is a paper simply stating that there is an IDS. However, there is no IDS attached.